

Committee of adjustment report

Meeting date:	September 19, 2022
Title:	A15.2022 – SANDBROOK, Jesse
Prepared by:	Lorelie Spencer, Manager of Planning and Development
Reviewed by:	Laura Johnston, CAO

Recommendation

That Committee of Adjustment receives the report from Planner Spencer, A15.2022 – SANDBROOK wherein the planner recommends approval of application A15.2022 as the application is considered to maintain the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan, the general intent and purpose of the municipality's zoning by-law, is minor in nature and is considered a desirable use of the land and buildings.

Executive summary

The subject lands are municipally identified as 6840 Highway 89, northwest of the Town of Mount Forest. The property is legally identified as part lot 75; concession A in the geographic township of Normanby. The purpose of the application is to vary the provisions of section 6.1.4(ii) to permit a total floor area of $112 \text{ m}^2 (1,205 \text{ ft}^2)$ whereas $92.9 \text{ m}^2 (1,000 \text{ ft}^2)$ is permitted. The effect of which will permit the construction of an accessory structure within the rear yard. A single detached dwelling unit currently exists on the subject lands.

Background and discussion

The property is located on the north side of Highway 89 east of Grey Road 6. The property currently contains a single detached dwelling unit and accessory structure. The property is approximately 0.30 hectares (0.71 acres) in size. The property has previously been cleared and limited vegetation exists on the subject lands at this time.

Section 45 of the Planning Act gives the Committee of Adjustment the authority to grant relief from a provision(s) of the Comprehensive Zoning By-law provided such relief passes four tests:

1. Does the Minor Variance maintain the intent and purpose of the Official Plan?

The subject lands are identified as rural within schedule 'A' of the County of Official Plan. Section 5.4.1 defines the permitted uses within the rural designation. Residential dwelling units and accessory structures are permitted within this land use designation. No natural heritage features have been identified on the subject lands.

The proposed development on the subject lands is proposed on an existing lot of record. In this capacity, the proposal generally conforms to the policies of the Official Plan.



2. Does the Minor Variance maintain the intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law?

The intent of provision 6.1.4(ii) is to ensure the overall streetscape is maintained with the principal use of the property (single detached dwelling) is predominant. The applicant has provided a site plan indicating the intended placement of the accessory structure relative to the existing single detached dwelling unit. Based on the site plan provided, the accessory structure will maintain a front yard setback of approximately 28.0 metres (92.0 feet) and the single detached dwelling unit will maintain a front yard setback of approximately 14.0 metres (46.0 feet). In addition, Planning staff are satisfied that the accessory structure is located in the rear yard as required by the zoning by-law.

Despite the request to increase the total floor area to approximately 112.0 m^2 (1,205.0 ft²), the location of the structure in the side yard ensures that it will not dominate the streetscape. The use of the accessory structure is personal storage which will enhance the streetscape by avoiding the need for outside storage. Planning staff are satisfied that the intent of provision 6.1.4(ii) is maintained.

3. Is the variance minor in nature?

The ability to determine if a variance is minor in nature is relative to the impact the variance would have on adjacent lands. Development of this site would not impact the adjacent lands as the property is located on an existing lot of record. Of note, minimum distance separation (MDS) calculations are not required to be conducted on an existing lot of record but the applicant should be advised that this area will be subject to noise and activities that are considered typical farming practices.

Outside storage on the subject lands will be minimized which planning staff consider to be appropriate.

Planning staff consider the application minor in nature as a result.

4. Does the minor variance represent an appropriate or desirable use of land and buildings?

The property is presently zoned entirely A3 (restricted rural) within the municipality's comprehensive zoning by-law. Residential dwelling units and accessory structures are permitted within the A3 zone. The request to locate the new structure on the subject land on the scale and location noted on the site plan is considered a desirable use of land and the future buildings. New or expanded livestock facilities are not permitted within the A3 zone.

Based on review of the above, planning staff have no further concerns in this regard.

Legal and legislated requirements

None.

Financial and resource implications

None.



Staffing implications

None.

Consultation

- County of Grey Planning and Development Department
- Saugeen Valley Conservation Authority

Alignment to strategic vision plan

Pillar:Clear CommunicationGoal:Review the official plan and zoning by-law

Strategy: Take a cooperative approach to development

Attachments

- 1.) Aerial and official plan mapping (Schedule A)
- 2.) Aerial and zoning map
- 3.) Commissioned application form
- 4.) County of Grey Planning and Development Department comments
- 5.) Saugeen Valley Conservation Authority comments

Next steps

If approved, planning staff will provide notice of decision. Provided no appeals are received, the applicant will be advised that they can pursue their building permits.

Respectfully submitted:



Lorelie Spencer, Ba.U.R.PI. MCIP, RPP Manager of Planning and Development