

Table 3: Top Ten Aggregate Producing Municipalities in Ontario: Official Plan Policy Analysis and Scoring

Rank	Municipality/Score	Air quality	Blasting	Cumulative Effects	First Nations consultation	Haul Routes	Hydrogeological	Natural Heritage	Noise
#1	Ottawa (28)	●	○	◐	●	◐	●	◐	●
#2	Hamilton (29)	●	○	○	◐	◐	●	●	●
#3	Kawartha Lakes (15)	◐	◐	◐	◐	◐	◐	◐	◐
#4	North Dumfries (20)	◐	○	◐	◐	◐	●	◐	◐
#5a	Burlington (25)	◐	○	◐	○	◐	●	◐	◐
#5b	Halton Hills (17)	○	○	○	○	●	◐	●	◐
#6	Zorra (19)	◐	○	◐	○	●	◐	◐	◐
#7	Puslinch (11)	◐	○	◐	○	◐	◐	◐	◐
#8	Severn (14)	○	○	◐	○	◐	◐	◐	◐
#9	Clarington (19)	○	○	◐	◐	◐	●	●	◐
#10	Caledon (7)	◐	○	◐	○	◐	◐	◐	◐
West Grey (20)		◐	○	◐	◐	◐	◐	◐	◐

	Grey County Draft Official Plan	Average - Refers to D6 study to assess air quality between land uses	No mention	Average - Policy reference, under EIS study	Weak- mentioned consultation as desired not as a obligation.	Average -Traffic impact study	Average - EIS –review may be scoped	Average -Minimal setbacks, more protection for EP areas - no negative impact	Weak - defers to MECP
--	--	--	------------	---	--	-------------------------------	-------------------------------------	--	-----------------------

<i>Strong</i>	● = 5	<i>Detailed and prescriptive, with several tests for approval, multiple policy references and clearly defined study requirements</i>
<i>Average</i>	◐ = 3	<i>Reference to provincial requirements, more than one policy reference, a study required</i>
<i>Weak</i>	◑ = 1	<i>Minimal reference, deferring to Provincial Acts</i>
<i>Not Present</i>	○ = 0	<i>No mention</i>

NOTE: Chart prepared by a planner for Caledon's ICBL process.